EXHIBIT F

Deposition of Former Kings County District Attorney Charles J. Hynes in Collins v. City of New York, 11 CV 766 (FB) (RML) (E.D.N.Y. December 19, 2013 CHARLES J. HYNES Non-Confidential Portions JABBAR COLLINS vs. NYC

December 19, 2013

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK JABBAR COLLINS,

Plaintiff,

CIVIL ACTION -against- No. 11CV00766

THE CITY OF NEW YORK; MICHAEL F. VECCHIONE, BRIAN MAHER, STEPHEN BONDOR, SHOLOM TWERSKY, ANTHONY D'ANGELO, MELANIE MARMER, MORGAN J. DENNEHY, VIRGINIA C. MODEST, and JODI MANDEL, as employees of the Kings County District Attorney's Office and Individually, and VINCENT GERECITANO and JOSE R. HERNANDEZ, Individually and as members of the New York City Police Department,

Defendants.

December 19, 2013 9:30 a.m.

"NON-CONFIDENTIAL PORTIONS"

Deposition of CHARLES J. HYNES, taken by Plaintiff, at the Kings County District Attorney's Office, 350 Jay Street, 19th Floor, Brooklyn, New York, before Anneliese R. Tursi, a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of New York.



CHARLES J. HYNES Non-Confidential Portions JABBAR COLLINS vs. NYC

December 19, 2013

STATE OF NEW YORK COURT OF CLAIMS

JABBAR COLLINS,

Claimant,

CLAIM NO.

-against-

119586

THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

Respondent.

December 19, 2013

December 19, 2013 9:30 a.m.

"NON-CONFIDENTIAL PORTIONS"

Deposition of CHARLES J. HYNES, taken by
Plaintiff, at the Kings County District
Attorney's Office, 350 Jay Street, 19th
Floor, Brooklyn, New York, before Anneliese R.
Tursi, a Registered Professional Reporter and
Notary Public within and for the State of New
York.



December 19, 2013

APPEARANCES

LAW OFFICE OF JOEL B. RUDIN

Attorneys for Plaintiff

200 West 57th Street, Suite 900

New York, New York 10019

BY: JOEL B. RUDIN, ESQ.

TERRI S. ROSENBLATT, ESQ.

212-752-7600 jbr@rudinlaw.com

NEW YORK CITY LAW DEPARTMENT

OFFICE OF THE CORPORATION COUNSEL

Attorneys for Defendants

100 Church Street

New York, New York 10007

BY: ARTHUR LARKIN, ESQ. ELIZABETH KRASNOW, ESQ.

ANGHARAD WILSON, ESQ.

212-788-0976

ekrasnow@law.nyc.gov



December 19, 2013

APPEARANCES

CHARLES J. HYNES, DISTRICT ATTORNEY

OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY, KINGS COUNTY

350 Jay Street

Brooklyn, New York 11201-2908

BY: DINO G. AMOROSO, ESQ.,
DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY
TONI C. LICHSTEIN, ESQ.,
ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY
718-250-2211
amorosod@brooklynda.org

ALSO PRESENT:

JABBAR COLLINS

THOMAS DEVINE, Videographer



1	C.J. HYNES
2	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 311,
3	letter dated November 25th, 2013
4	marked for identification, as of
5	this date.)
6	(Plaintiff's Exhibit 312,
7	letter dated June 2, 2010 marked
8	for identification, as of this
9	date.)
10	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning.
11	This is media No. 1 to the videotaped
12	deposition of Charles Hynes in the
13	matter of Jabbar Collins against The
14	City of New York, et al., being heard in
15	the US District Court, Eastern District
16	of New York, case No. 11-CV 00766.
17	This deposition is being held at
18	the District Attorney's office, 350 Jay
19	Street, 19th floor, Brooklyn, New York,
20	on December 19th, 2013 at approximately
21	9:30 a.m.
22	My name is Thomas Divine and I am
23	the videographer. The court reporter is
24	Liese Tursi.
25	Counsel, will you please introduce



1	C.J. HYNES
2	yourselves and your affiliations, after
3	which the witness will be sworn in and
4	we may proceed.
5	MR. RUDIN: For plaintiff, Joel
6	Rudin, Terri Rosenblatt and Jabbar
7	Collins.
8	MR. LARKIN: For the defendant,
9	Arthur Larkin, L-A-R-K-I-N, New York
10	City Law Department. With me is
11	Elizabeth Krasnow and Angharad Wilson.
12	MR. AMOROSO: On behalf of the
13	Kings County District Attorney's Office
14	Dino G. Amoroso, A-M-O-R-O-S-O.
15	MS. LICHSTEIN: And Toni C.
16	Lichstein, L-I-C-H-S-T-E-I-N.
17	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you.
18	Liese, will you please swear in
19	the witness.
20	CHARLES J. HYNES,
21	Kings County District Attorney's Office,
22	350 Jay Street, Brooklyn, New York,
23	having been first duly sworn/affirmed by
24	the Notary Public (Anneliese R. Tursi),
25	was examined and testified as follows:



1	C.J. HYNES
2	EXAMINATION BY MR. RUDIN:
3	Q. Good morning, Mr. Hynes.
4	A. Good morning.
5	Q. We just were introduced. My name
6	is Joel Rudin. With me is Terri Rosenblatt
7	and Jabbar Collins. Ms. Rosenblatt and I
8	represent Mr. Collins in his civil lawsuit
9	against The City of New York and a number of
10	individuals?
11	A. Um-hum.
12	Q. Are you familiar with the lawsuit?
13	A. In general terms, yes.
14	Q. I will be asking you some
15	questions today that I believe are relevant to
16	the issues in the lawsuit.
17	A. Um-hum.
18	Q. If I ask you a question that you
19	do not understand or that you need to have
20	clarified, do you understand that you may and,
21	in fact, should ask me to restate or clarify
22	the question?
23	A. Sure, I understand.
24	Q. Do you understand that if you give
25	an answer that upon reflection you think is in

C. J. HYNES

believe that was a program that predated me by at least two district attorneys.

- Q. Were you aware when you became district attorney that there was still a hotel custody program?
 - A. Yeah, I'm sure I did.
- Q. And did you have any understanding about the circumstances under which individuals were in the custody of detective investigators at hotels?
- A. As I understand, typically when a material witness order was executed, the witness had to promptly be brought before a judge who then would make inquiry as to whether or not the witness would agree to cooperate with the trial, and to tell the witness that the option was to go to civil jail or to go in the custody of the DA in one of the hotel rooms. And that typically the length of the stay was no more than overnight, the next day the witness would testify and then the material witness order would be withdrawn. That was my understanding of it.
 - Q. Your understanding was that



$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{C}}$	J.	HYNES
C.	U.	UTNEO

witnesses were given a choice by the judge, either they could stay in a civil jail or they could stay in a hotel in the custody of the District Attorney's office?

A. Yeah.

Q. If they did not wish to stay in a jail, then they had no choice but to stay in the DA's custody?

MR. LARKIN: Form objection.

MR. LARKIN: Form objection.

- A. Well, that's the choice judges would give a material witness.
- Q. Either you could be in a jail maintained by the New York City Department of Corrections or they could be in a hotel room guarded by detectives from the DA's office?
- A. I'm not quite sure it is the Department of Corrections. I think it is the marshals, the city Marshall that did that but it doesn't matter.

Yeah, either you would go to jail or go to a hotel room. And as I said, typically the stay, as I understood it, my recollection of it, it was an overnight stay



1	C. J. HYNES
2	and then they would testify and that would be
3	the end of it.
4	Q. And if they chose, as you put it
5	to go to the hotel, were they free to leave?
6	A. No.
7	Q. So would it be fair to say that
8	they were prisoners?
9	MR. LARKIN: Objection.
10	A. They were not free to leave so,
11	sure, they were prisoners. They were in
12	custody.
13	Q. And did you have any policy prior
14	to March of 1995 as to whether or not the
15	defense had to be told when a witness was in
16	the involuntary custody of the DA's office?
17	A. Nope. It was my understanding
18	that during the course of a trial the defense
19	would learn about the material witness order
20	and the fact that the witness was testifying
21	under that kind of coercion.
22	Q. Learn from whom?
23	A. From the witness.
24	Q. Well, what if the district

attorney didn't bring it out himself, how



25